

# SWE assimilation - output ...

---

[gdlannoy](#) 4 posts since

Apr 29, 2008 Dear LIS-team

Could anyone explain why the snow-related output variables for the Noah LSM are chosen to be `noah_struc%noah%swe` and `noah_struc%noah%snowh`, while in fact the updated state variables after SWE-assimilation are currently only `noah_struc%noah%sneqv` and `noah_struc%noah%snowh`?

I do not see how `noah_struc%noah%swe` is updated after DA and prior to writing out the analysis in the outputfile at the DA time steps, so I wonder if we really get a correct `noah_struc%noah%swe`-estimate at the DA time step.

Many thanks for your help!

Kind regards,

Gabriëlle Tags: lis, swe, noah

[sujay](#) 118 posts since

Sep 20, 2007 1. **Re: SWE assimilation - output** Jun 1, 2008 8:07 PM

Hi Gabrielle,

The prognostic variables for snow depth and snow water equivalent are `snowh` and `sneqv`, respectively. In LIS we use a diagnostic variable called `swe` to keep track of SWE as well. `swe` and `sneqv` are essentially the same, except that `swe` can be used for looking at a time averaged value of snow water equivalent whereas `sneqv` will be an instantaneous value.

So, in assimilation, the update should be applied to the prognostic value (`sneqv` and `snowh`).

Hope this helps,

-S

[gdlannoy](#) 4 posts since

Apr 29, 2008 2. **Re: SWE assimilation - output** Jun 2, 2008 12:12 AM

in response to: [sujay](#)

Dear Sujay

Right, but the instantaneous output to the binary files is `'swe'`, which is at the instant of DA not updated (`sneqv` is updated).

I mean: right after the moment of DA, `swe` does not equal `sneqv`, so when `swe` is written as output, then it is not the actual updated state.

I guess my question/suggestion would better be as follows: should the snow variable passed through the output-procedure (binout to write the 'instantaneous' output-files) not be `'sneqv'` instead of `'swe'`?

Many thanks!

Kind regards,

SWE assimilation - output ...

Gabriëlle

[sujoy](#) 118 posts since

Sep 20, 2007 **3. Re: SWE assimilation - output** Jun 2, 2008 9:59 AM

in response to: [gdlannoy](#) Gabriëlle,

Yes. I see your point. Ultimately it is upto the user to figure out what variables are being output and how (time averaged/instantaneous, etc). You can easily modify the output to reflect the analysis increments being applied. The swe variable is created to give some flexibility in case someone wants to write a time averaged SWE output. Another fix would be to update the swe variable as well at the update step during assimilation - purely a diagnostic update since it will not affect the model simulations.

-S

[gdlannoy](#) 4 posts since

Apr 29, 2008 **4. Re: SWE assimilation - output** Jun 2, 2008 10:02 AM

in response to: [sujoy](#)

OK, thank you, Sujay!

Gabriëlle